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Abstract

After decades of exploration and development, the credit system has become the main trend of reform in Chinese colleges. The credit system has brought some progress but also leads to some problems. The study takes Shantou University as an example, and the students in Shantou University as the objects to investigate the relationship between students and the relationship between teachers and students by using the business anthropology approach.

1. Introduction

Higher education is an essential part of national education, and its development level is an important factor in measuring the comprehensive strength of a country or a region. The academic credit system reforms initiated in China since the end of the 20th century have played a significant role in higher education administration reform. Due to distinctive features of teaching and learning, most scholars focus on its influence on the academic learning of college students but ignore the changes to interpersonal relationships under the academic credit system. This research primarily explores the new trends in interpersonal relationships in colleges under the academic credit system, and notes influences of the academic credit system on interpersonal relationships in colleges so that a more positive role can be assumed in the construction of harmonious campuses.

Interpersonal relationships in colleges not only affect student minds throughout school life, but also play an important role in their state of learning. In addition, since college students are in a significant period of physical and mental development, it is crucial to maintain good interpersonal relationships, which is especially true for classmate relationships. Furthermore, good relationships between teachers and students are an important guarantee to promote teaching quality in higher education as well as the basis to train highly-competent personnel. Previous researches showed that the academic credit system in colleges play a significant role in strengthening teacher-student relationship. Firstly, it helps shorten the psychological distance between teachers and students. Under the academic credit system, students have the freedom to choose courses and teachers they prefer so that the dominant position of students is enhanced, as such students and teachers are placed on an equal footing, which is beneficial for student expression, and for teachers to understand the true feelings of students while creating conditions amenable to the establishment of a sincere teacher-student relationship. Secondly, the academic credit system helps raise the prestige of teachers. As students can choose teachers freely, this invisible pressure for teachers necessarily offers sufficient consideration and understanding to students. In this way, teacher affection toward students can be reinforced and the prestige and status of teachers can be greatly improved [1]. The above research results are undoubtedly of certain theoretical and practical significance, and it is deserving of further more intensive study on relevant issues.

2. Review of the academic credit system and Interpersonal Relationships in Colleges

As a teaching management system, the academic credit system should be explored with regard to its nature as well as its educational theory and implementation principles from different perspectives [2]. For reference, the academic credit systems in European countries are the result of external force stressing learning load and final results, and its dynamic mechanisms are policy documents with certain legal effect. The academic credit system in the United States on the other hand is driven by internal force stressing teacher-student contact and teaching input, namely its dynamic mechanisms toward the educational needs of the American public [3]. Therefore, according to the actual situation in China, the academic credit system should serve to coordinate between internal management restriction force of higher education system and external demand reform force of the market economy for positive interaction so as to construct generation logic of the academic credit system in domestic colleges [3]. In addition, the characteristics of different colleges should be taken into sufficient consideration in the design and measurement of specific GPA [4]. For particular colleges such as higher vocational colleges, student employment status should be taken into full account.
Interpersonal relationship in colleges not only involves interaction between students, but also between teacher and student. Teachers in colleges are required to study "teaching knowledge" as well as "teaching emotion" to permeate the classroom with the unique emotion between teacher and students. The emotional involvement of both teacher and students and their interaction is an important character of teaching activity, which would exert certain impacts on follow-up teaching and student development [8]. However, the academic credit system changes the nature and function of the teacher-student relationship. In traditional education, teachers hold the dominant position with lecturing as their mission while students as a rule respect teachers and their teaching. Under the academic credit system, however, students have the freedom to choose teachers, in which way the teacher-student relationship is intentionally or unintentionally positioned as a market exchange relationship. Teaching seemingly becomes a commodity, and both teachers and students take what they need. Their relationship ends once the deal is done. To a certain extent, this does lead to false positioning of teacher-student relationship [1]. In a word, the academic credit system changes interpersonal relationships in colleges, since whether the harmony of interpersonal relationship has certain impact on the happiness index of members in college community, research on the new trend of changed interpersonal relationship in colleges under the academic credit system is undoubtedly of great practical significance.

3. Research Design and Method

According to explanations from the Ministry of Education, the academic credit system as the higher education system utilizes credits students have obtained as the basic foundation of their performance and conducts management accordingly. The principle of the academic credit system is that students must pass the examination to obtain a course credit [9]. Under this system, students are free to choose courses and teachers, each with a differentiated timetable as well as progression of learning and class time [10].

According to theoretical research and practical observation, we suppose that the academic credit system helps foster sound interpersonal relationships between teachers and students and among students. Students and teachers have more interaction to promote mutual exchange while also having more opportunities to become acquainted with students from different schools so as to expand their social circles.

Taking Shantou University as an example, the research explores the influence of the academic credit system on interpersonal relationships in colleges based on qualitative research methods of business anthropology including participant observation and in-depth interview as well as quantitative research methods such as questionnaire survey, investigating the teacher-student relationship and classmate relationship [9]. The primary data collected for the research are collected through the above methods and analyzed qualitatively and quantitatively. In addition, constructive suggestions are made for further improvement and development of the academic credit system. The details of the academic credit system adopted by Shantou University: independent course selection as mechanism, credit and GPA as units of account to measure the quantity and quality of student performance, certain credits and GPA as the standard for graduation and obtaining a degree; for the convenience of course selection, in principle, 16 weeks are scheduled for theory courses, experimental courses and dispersed practice courses, and another 2 weeks for examination during each fall and spring semester.

Participant observation Participant observation refers to an approach of researchers directly observing research subjects using their own sensory organs and auxiliary means according to certain research objectives, with an outline or observation schedule. We observed the behaviors and expressions of students and teachers in class and after class, noting subtle actions, taking instant record, summarizing, and analyzing data upon observation. In addition, because the data collectors were college students who could directly obtain reliable internal observation data without fitting in
with the respondents through a long period of time, there were favorable advantages for participant observation. At the same time, as the dormitories of Shantou University gather students of different grades from different schools, we have much easier access to diverse observation data. Furthermore, the observations are not confined to classroom and campus life, but also include various emerging online virtual communities. During data collection, we attempt to keep ourselves unbiased for fear that unusual behaviors might influence the validity of data.

In-depth interview In-depth interview is a critical step of the research, which aims to look into the influence of the academic credit system on student interpersonal relationships. In order to obtain the most authentic and valid information, the questions are designed and determined by business anthropological experts after discussion according to research topic and contents. Approximately 100 undergraduates in Shantou University were selected for in-depth interview with questions of eight different dimensionalities which were primarily open-ended.

Questionnaire survey Questionnaire survey is an approach in which a large-scale sampling survey is conducted against research subjects and data are collected through designing comprehensive and clearly-structured questionnaire for completion by students. It is a research approach which intentionally collects relevant realistic conditions regarding research subjects is capable of effectively obtaining a large quantity of authentic data in a planned and systematic approach. Based on acquired information and references as well as our own observation, we designed a targeted questionnaire, which covers student attitudes toward the academic credit system and toward course selection, teacher-student relationship and classmate relationship. Undergraduates in Shantou University are taken as research subjects, and the questionnaire included student information such as gender, school, place of origin, and financial condition. A total of 380 questionnaires were distributed with 348 returned, and the response rate is over 90%. It was therefore an effective questionnaire.

4. Research Results and Analysis

As an essential part of college life, student interpersonal relationships and social interactions such as emotional exchange and social communication amongst students, as well as equal communication between teachers and students, are of particular importance for student learning growth, integrated development, and physical and mental health [7] [11]. As compared with traditional educational approaches, the academic credit system places greater emphasis on student autonomy and dominance. Students are free to choose courses and teachers, which escapes stereotypes of classmate relationships and the traditional roles of teachers and students during interaction so that student interpersonal relationships exhibit new patterns [12] [13]. The research will focus on student interaction and communication under the academic credit system from two dimensionalities, namely the teacher-student relationship and classmate relationship, which primarily adopts anthropological research methods such as participant observation and in-depth interview complemented by questionnaire survey to explore the development trend of student interpersonal relationships under the academic credit system.

1) Manifestation and analysis of teacher-student relationships in specific contexts

We have adhered to the teacher-oriented concept in conventional teacher-student relationship for a long period of time and with significant connotations for traditional morality and culture. Traditional morality and higher education management systems used to function together to maintain the development of such an educational tradition. With the profound development of structural reform of higher education based on a market-oriented economy, and in particular the implementation of the academic credit system, under such new contexts, teacher-student relationships in colleges are transforming. An urgent question for management personnel in colleges is how to construct a harmonious teacher-student relationship based on actual situations in the larger environment of a harmonious society. Although there are various aspects that should comply with certain rules in terms of teacher-student interaction in colleges, and the positioning of teacher and student under different contexts, we can nonetheless always uncover phenomena that violate standards.

Circumstance 1: time: 9:00-10:00 p.m. May 8, 2012; location: corridor of Dormitory #E.

Interviewer: I’ve heard that you had night snacks with teachers that evening!

Interviewee (girl): Yes! We had a good time with them (male teachers)! J and I drank a lot of beer!

Recorder: Wow, you’re so lucky! How many teachers?

Interviewee: Three, and they’re all very nice and talkative.

Figure 1. Circumstance 1

The phenomenon described in Circumstance 1 is not uncommon on this campus according to our observation and research. Compared with teacher-student relationship under the traditional system, the approaches to communication between teachers and students under the academic credit system incline to be diversified and approaches to improving
relationships are not confined to the classroom and teaching. Sometimes dining together is the norm.

Circumstance 2: time: 8:00-9:00 p.m. May 9, 2012; location: campus road of Shantou University
Interviewer: Actually, I think many teachers in our school are very nice.
Interviewee: Yeah! For example, W is quite talented and teaches well. S is also very nice and kind to students. She also gives us high scores!
Interviewer: Well, only D and I have much to talk about, and I usually chat with him. He is very humorous. I find that American teachers are all full of enthusiasm!
Interviewee: For me, I only get close to J, and he is easy to interact with.
Interviewer: Is the teacher bound to help you? The teacher can’t help you if you do a bad job on your homework and team reports.
Interviewee: The teacher is very nice, and he will surely give me a high score!

Figure 2. Circumstance 2

The phenomenon described in Circumstance 2 is also quite common on campus. Under the academic credit system, some students intend to get high scores by fawning on their teachers so that their scores might not tally with the facts, which might lead to speculation and mental inclination to gain without any labor.

The above records are dialogues between observers and their friends. Based on the above phenomenon, we find that students can choose teachers freely and teacher-student relationships become an important factor for course selection under the academic credit system. Some students even deliberately fawn upon teachers in order to obtain higher scores, which might influence teacher assessment of student performance. Therefore, some students could become opportunistic.

Based on the above phenomenon, in-depth interviews are conducted to answer relevant questions. The question is designed as: “Compared with other traditional educational approaches, what do you think are the differences in terms of teacher-student relationships under the current credit system?” with follow-up questions such as: “Could you explain this phenomenon according to your own experience? What is the influence of such changes? What should be improved?” The author summarized the answers as follows:

1) “I think the relationship between teachers and students in colleges is more alienated than that in high schools. After all, teachers leave once the class is over. It is very difficult for them to remember every student unless they are major teachers.”

2) “Students choose their own courses freely, so they are comparatively alienated from teachers. Teachers face students from different schools without deep understanding of them. Students have different courses in each semester, and have little exchange with teachers in class, let alone after class.

Since there is no communication between teachers and students, it is difficult to improve their relationship.”

3) “There is little exchange between teachers and students. Generally teachers leave once the class is over. And we cannot find teachers after class.”

4) “I think under the academic credit system, teachers seem to be put into the market. Only by doing better and more catering to students are they able to attract more students to choose their courses. It is somewhat like the relationship between customer and commodity. But the precondition is various courses for us to choose. Actually, there is little impact on optional and compulsory courses. And there might be some impact on those general-knowledge courses, but someone will always choose them.”

5) “Take general-knowledge courses as an example. There is little exchange with teachers. We neither answer questions in class nor ask questions after class, and only meet with teachers in class. We therefore have basically no exchange with them.”

From the above responses, we can conclude the main ideas as follows: the relationship between teachers and students is relatively distant; since there is little exchange between them in class and after class, their relationship is not in harmony; students know little about teachers when choosing courses and ask for more detailed introduction of teachers under the academic credit system; it seems that teachers must cater to students so that more students might choose their courses, which is akin to the relationship between customer and commodity; teacher character and teaching style will also affect the teacher-student relationship.

As previously mentioned, a total of 100 undergraduates in Shantou University were selected for in-depth interview with questions of eight different perspectives which are primarily open-ended. The five most representative interview records are selected as samples for analysis. Based on the interviews, it is clear that most students think the teacher-student relationship is not good under the academic credit system. Compared with traditional teaching patterns, the academic credit system leads to weakened teacher-student relationships. Through in-depth interview, we find that teacher character, teaching attitude, teaching method, teaching quality and instruction would also impact the relationship between teachers and students.

Therefore, through in-depth interview, we have found that the academic credit system definitely influences teacher-student relationships, which is inclined toward more negative effects. In order to more deeply explore the influence of the academic credit system on teacher-student relationships, quantitative research methods such as questionnaire survey are also employed as a supplement to participant observation and in-depth interview.
Table 1. Questionnaire on Credit System and Teacher-Student Relationship

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The academic credit system helps improve the teacher-student relationship.</td>
<td>agree, strongly agree</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>no idea</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>disagree, strongly disagree</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If a teacher once offended students, students might retaliate during teacher evaluation.</td>
<td>agree, strongly agree</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>no idea</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>disagree, strongly disagree</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The teacher evaluation system makes some teachers loosen management and requirements of students in class.</td>
<td>agree, strongly agree</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>no idea</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>disagree, strongly disagree</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Only valid data are selected.

Table 2. Questionnaire on Familiarity Between Teachers and Students

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Which can best reflect your own familiarity with your teachers?</td>
<td>A. Quite familiar with a small portion of teachers, also have contact after class</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B. Mediocre, but would ask your teachers if there are questions about study</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C. Not familiar with most teachers, seldom have contact after class, only greet them when meeting</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D. Basically entirely unfamiliar with all of them, and do not greet them when meeting</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Only valid data are selected.

Table 1 and Table 2 reveal that approximately 80% of students are not familiar with their teachers, most students and teachers are not familiar with each other, even feeling strange and do not greet when meeting under the academic credit system. In addition, only 18% students hold that the academic credit system helps improve the teacher-student relationship, 35% claim they have no idea, and 46% disagree with the statement that “the academic credit system contributes to interaction between teachers and students and improves the teacher-student relationship”. This indicates that most students believe the academic credit system does not substantially help promote the teacher-student relationship.

From Table 1, we can learn that over 40% of students believe the teacher evaluation system results in some teachers loosening management and requirements of students in class. Only 25% disagree with the opinion. Thus it can be seen that course evaluation under the academic credit system has a great impact on classroom discipline, which is also an important manifestation of the teacher-student relationship. Therefore, classroom discipline under the academic credit system has certain impacts on the teacher-student relationship. We conclude the major affecting factors of the teacher-student relationship to be as follows:

A. Course evaluation. Under the academic credit system, students are required to conduct a course evaluation at the end of each semester. Some students might evaluate according to their relationship with teachers and even retaliate. Therefore, the academic credit system seriously affects teacher-student relationship.

B. Teaching quality. The teaching quality under the academic credit system might influence the communication between teachers and students and then affect their relationships.

C. Classroom discipline. Over 40% of students believe that the teacher evaluation system makes some teachers loosen their management and requirements in class. As an important manifestation of the teacher-student relationship, classroom discipline also influences teacher-student relationships under the academic credit system.

D. Academic guidance. Under the academic credit system, students can choose courses freely. Students from different majors and different grades find it difficult to communicate with teachers and they lack academic guidance from teachers.

2) Analysis of influence of curriculum evaluation on the teacher-student relationship

Circumstance 3: time: 8:00 p.m. May 24, 2012; location: students’ Dormitory #E, tree hole in Shantou University Participant: XX from School of Business, you are so inhumane! It’s not just that you read PPT in class, you should even require us to debate in 14th week and take exam in 15th and 16th weeks. What’s worse, the content is not from the book but from a qualification test. Are there any differences with taking examination twice in a row? It is learned that many students evaluate the teacher as nice, giving high scores, but always repeating what the book says, straying far away from the subject without much knowledge related to the textbook after a lesson.

Figure 3. Circumstance 3

According to the above analysis of observation records, interviews and questionnaires, the primary conclusion can be made that the academic credit system has great impacts on the teacher-student relationship, and most students disagree with the viewpoint that “the academic credit system helps improve teacher-student relationships” and feel unacquainted or even unfamiliar with their teachers. Under the academic credit system, students are required to conduct teacher evaluations. Some students would evaluate according to their relationship with teachers and even retaliate. How do students view the teacher-student relationship under course evaluation, and what are its impacts on the teacher-student relationship?
Seen from Circumstance 3 and the widely discussed article “College Students Scramble for ‘Kind’ Teachers—Reflection on the Standard of ‘Good Teacher’” which states that “Public compulsory courses are different from specialized ones, as the same course is taught by different teachers. Therefore, everyone scrambles for ‘kind’ teachers at the beginning of each semester”, the author uses an Internet buzzword “RP” (which means personal character) to describe the battle of course selection [10][11]. So-called good character means that the teacher is kind, gives high scores, and little homework.

The above record is from Sina Weibo, a platform for public social comments, through which teachers and students of this university are able to voice their opinions. From the above information, students have a bad opinion of the teacher, and at least some students disagree with his teaching and evaluation forms. It is hard to imagine that the teacher can get excellent comments during course evaluation.

According to the above observation, we conduct in-depth interview to related students with questions: “Do you think the current teacher evaluation system in Shantou University is rational? If not, what need to be improved?” as well as follow-up questions: “Could you talk about your standards and attitude during evaluation of teaching quality based on your experience? What do you think are the influences that student evaluations have on teachers?” According to the interview, summary and conclusion can be made as follows:

(1) “The teacher evaluation system is obviously irrational. Students pay little attention to it and do not want to fill it in later in the year. In addition, even if they do fill it in, teachers do not make improvement. Students generally fill in according to their preference of the teacher.”

(2) “The teacher evaluation system is quite irrational. It should be taken before examination instead of after examination. Unqualified teachers should be fired. Sometimes teacher evaluations might influence scores. Therefore, students are somewhat subjective during evaluation. Some students give favorable comments and full scores to all teachers and do not evaluate according to reality.”

(3) “Evaluation of teacher performance is too casual. We don’t know whether teachers have seen their evaluation and comments and whether they have improvement measures. I think something like a hearing should be held so that students can offer on-site evaluation to teachers.”

(4) “I remember that a teacher for English was fired due to overly strict evaluation. Although supervisory personnel would come to observe the class and teachers would become especially enthusiastic, it is of little effect and cannot essentially change their teaching approach.”

(5) “It is irrational. Many students do not take the evaluation seriously, and there is no normalized evaluation standard. Such evaluation is even used as a means of retaliation by students.”

From the answers received, key words can be extracted to include: irrational, too casual, no teacher improvement; overly subjective evaluation and difficult to reflect reality; students are not informed about whether their opinions are accepted; the role of supervisory personnel is not obvious; evaluation itself might become a means of retaliation by students.

The following conclusions can thus be made. Firstly, students are not serious about the evaluation system. Secondly, it lacks relevant feedback. Most students consider the evaluation invalid because the evaluation results are unilaterally received by colleges and teachers without feedback and due to non-transparent settlement and improvement measures. Thirdly, supervisory personnel fail to play their due role. Dispatched classroom observers do not make a significant difference. As one or two observers have to audit all the problem courses in college and due to their limited knowledge, it cannot be guaranteed that they understand every course, which leads to low validity of some teaching inspection reports without substantial effect on the improvement of courses.

Based on in-depth interview, it appears that teacher evaluations do not play their intended role. For the preciseness and accuracy of research, we distributed questionnaires on credit systems and teacher evaluation to students so as to explore the relationship between course evaluation and teacher-student relationship through quantitative method.

**Table 3. Questionnaire on Credit System and Teacher Evaluation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I pay little attention to final teacher evaluation and don’t think the evaluation has great influence on the teacher.</td>
<td>agree, strongly agree</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>no idea</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>disagree, strongly disagree</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under the academic credit system, teacher evaluation system weakens teacher authority.</td>
<td>agree, strongly agree</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>no idea</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>disagree, strongly disagree</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Only valid data are selected.*

From the above table, it is clear that nearly half (44%) of students believe course evaluation has little impact on teachers, and only 32% of students disagree with it. It can be seen that students are not serious about course evaluation.

In addition, most students disagree with the statement that “under the academic credit system, teacher evaluation system weakens teacher authority”, and only 26% of students agree with it,
thus suggesting that most students believe that their evaluation will not affect teacher authority. Thus it can be concluded that most students believe course evaluation has little impact on teachers and they therefore give teachers favorable or unfavorable comments at will, which is likely to dampen teacher enthusiasm and impair teacher-student relationship.

The academic credit system not only affects the relationship between teachers and students but also that between students.

3) Analysis of classmate relationships under the academic credit system

Previous research results show that under the traditional semester system, classmate relationships are class-based, and students take lessons in the same classroom, which is the major place for their learning, communication and class activities during the four years of college life. Under the academic credit system, however, although the class system is retained, the free elective system gives more freedom to students and it is quite common to take courses of different departments, majors, and campuses, which leads to class discretion. In addition, inconsistent course arrangement, class time, and location result in weakened relationships between students as well as less class cohesiveness, which ultimately affects classmate relationships. We delve deep into the real situation to explore the influence of the academic credit system on classmate relationship.

**Figure 4. Circumstance 4**

Circumstance 4: time: 10:10 a.m. April 24, 2012; location: PE class.
Teacher: Everyone please hand in your theory homework. Just then, students began to whisper.
Student A: What?
Student B: Is there such a thing?
Student C: What? Is there any homework?
Student A: Definitely there is, as you see other students have handed it in.
Student D: You left last class early, didn’t you? The teacher assigned the homework before finishing class. Student A, B, C (suddenly understand); Oh---

**Figure 5. Circumstance 5**

Circumstance 5: After warm-up exercises, students exercise separately and in slight disorder. Student B furiously took out a blank test paper and a finished one from the teacher folder when he was not paying attention, and then pretended to “go to the washroom”. Student A and C also followed suit. Fifteen minutes later, Student B calmly replaced two finished test paper and later Student A and C also did the same.

**Figure 6. Circumstance 6**

Circumstance 6: time: 7:30—9:00 p.m. May 25, 2012; location: D504, committee election of Class 9.
It is the last term of committee election, when only over 40 out of 120 students came one after the next. This was much lower as compared with before the implementation of the credit system. Several class committee members attempted to keep the atmosphere. Several candidates for the monitor position did not show much enthusiasm, and some positions were vacant, which made the atmosphere extremely embarrassing. In fact, election results from only one third of the total number were invalid.

**Table 4. Questionnaire on Credit System and Classmate Relationships**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The academic credit system promotes:</th>
<th>Options</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>improve communication</td>
<td>agree</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>25.64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>disagree</td>
<td>no idea</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>45.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>improve classmate interaction</td>
<td>disagree</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>64.36%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Only valid data are selected.

Similar phenomena occurred in many dormitories. Under the academic credit system, every student can choose their own schedule and teachers, which grants students greater freedom and alternatives. However, this system directly leads to different timetables and schedules of each student so that gathering becomes more difficult. This indirectly affects communication between students. In addition to participant observation, we also further explored the influence of the academic credit system on classmate relationships through questionnaire survey.
Table 5. Questionnaire on Familiarity Between Classmates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Options</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. How well do you know your classmates?</td>
<td>I am quite familiar with most classmates and we know each other</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>24.55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>but don’t know their names and have little communication</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>22.46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. I know some classmates, communicate frequently and maintain good relationship with them</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>34.43%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. I know some classmates, but with little communication</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>16.17%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. We basically do not know each other</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.39%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Only valid data are selected.

45.5% of students voted against the statement that “the academic credit system promotes classmate relationship”, among whom 31.88% of students “disagree” and 13.62% “strongly disagree”. Compared with 25.64% on the supportive side, it may be said that the disagreeing side achieved overwhelming advantage. It can be concluded that the academic credit system hinders communication between students to some extent.

Table 5 also proves the above conclusion as it is found that only 24.55% of students are familiar with most classmates; 22.46% of students have some impression of classmates, but are not familiar with them; 34.43% of students have close contact with a portion of classmates; and 16.17% of students only know a small part of classmates with little communication.

Results from participant observation and questionnaire survey reveal that the academic credit system exerts many negative effects on classmate relationships.

5. Conclusions and Management Recommendations

The research result reveals that the credit system not only exerts significant influence on the study of college students, but also has profound effects on interpersonal relationships. With regard to the teacher-student relationship, the changed teacher evaluation system leads to changed status of teachers and students, and thus teachers lower their requirements for students, which leads to poor classroom discipline [14] [15]. Secondly, under the academic credit system, students primarily learn by themselves, which results in less exchange between teachers and students and poorer teacher-student relationships [15]. Finally, under the academic credit system, some students take course evaluation as means of retaliation, and some students pay little attention to it so that the evaluation program becomes arbitrary. The negative effect on teacher-student relationships caused by the academic credit system should therefore be paid sufficient attention. With regard to the above problems, recommendations are made as follows:

1) Change the mode of course evaluation. The current course evaluation system lacks normalization and student evaluation tends to be subjective, which hinders normal development of the teacher-student relationship. Process evaluation and face-to-face evaluation can be adopted to further promote exchange between teachers and students.

2) Establish a feedback system. After course evaluation, students can witness teacher improvement and offer suggestions through such a feedback system. As another important approach for exchange between teachers and students, a feedback system can provide greater opportunities for communication.

3) Change dimensionality of course evaluation. As most students find it difficult to understand, making the dimensionality of course evaluation easier would help reflect student opinions more accurately and promote mutual progress of teachers and students as well as the harmonious development of teacher-student relationships.

With regard to classmate relationships, due to free and dispersed courses and mixed dormitories, students have fewer opportunities to communicate with each other, leading to a reduced sense of class belonging and inadequate class cohesiveness. From a sociological perspective, there would be some impact on their relationships if they are unable to remain in the same class for a long time [16]. Such impacts primarily include: (1) the academic credit system reducing exchange between classmates so that they are alienated; (2) the academic credit system weakens mutual supervision from students taking the same courses and thus impacts classroom discipline and learning quality [17]. With regard to the above two problems, we make the following suggestions:

1) Students should become more proactive in making friends and class committee members should regularly hold class activities. Under present social contexts, interpersonal relationships are indispensable for success in career. In addition, class committee members should hold class activities regularly, and try to get all students involved so as to increase opportunities for their communication and promote friendship.

2) Under the academic credit system, student schedules and timetables are different, which is an ineradicable phenomenon. Therefore, the only thing we can do is to promote the advantages of the academic credit system. For example, the mixed-dormitory system enables students to learn more about knowledge of other majors, which provides a wider range of ideas and perspectives to view the
same problems and have more room to find solutions.
3) Add major elective courses to enable classmates to have more opportunities to meet each other at the same time they have more alternatives. In addition to daily class affairs, class committee members should play a greater role in enhancing communication between classmates and organizing activities to promote friendship.
4) Supervision of students should be strengthened with more inspection of study styles. As the teacher-student relationship and classmate relationship are not close under the academic credit system, it is difficult to form effective mutual supervision. The credit system weakens classmate relationships, reduces encouragement and supervision between classmates, and leads to declined learning quality, which is inconsistent with the objectives of colleges to train excellent personnel. Therefore, it is recommended to (1) increase the frequency of inspection of study style; (2) step up efforts to check attendance rates and strictly enforce relevant punishment measures. In addition, students should change the attitude of “coasting along”, and mutually supervise each other with the responsibility for the future of one’s friend in mind.

The research combines scientific approaches with participant observation, in-depth interview and questionnaire survey to some extent, but there yet remained many limitations during the investigation as a whole. To be specific, firstly, the data are not sufficient; secondly, it was not well planned, and the time of investigation was not accurately calculated. During the investigation, the calculation mistakes regarding time led to hasty in-depth interviews later; thirdly, interview techniques were limited. Some information from the body and expression of interviewees might have been ignored due to the limited interview techniques of interviewers, and the richness of information might have been affected due to shortcomings in inquiry approaches.
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