

- The IEEE World Congress on Internet Security (WorldCIS-2012)*. New York, US, Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, 17-22.
- [2] Wigan, M. (2010). Identity—One or Many—Do We Have a Choice? *Technology and Society Magazine, IEEE*.
- [3] Arora, S. (2008). National e-ID card schemes: A European overview. *Information Security Technical Report, 13*(2), 46-53.
- [4] Ajzen, I. and Fishbein, M., (1980), Understanding Attitudes and Predicting Social Behavior. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J, paperback ed edition.
- [5] Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 50*(2), 179-211.
- [6] Taylor, S., (1995). Marketing Decomposition and crossover effects in the theory of planned behavior: A study of consumer adoption intentions. *International Journal of Research in Marketing, 12*(2), pp.137-155.
- [7] Venkatesh, V. (2000). Determinants of Perceived Ease of Use: Integrating Control, Intrinsic Motivation, and Emotion into the Technology Acceptance Model. *INFORMS, Information Systems Research, 11*(4), 342-365.
- [8] Venkatesh, V., Morris, M., Davis, G., and Davis, F. (2003). User Acceptance of Information Technology: Toward a Unified View. *MIS Quarterly, 27*(3), 425-478.
- [9] Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived Usefulness, Perceived Ease of Use, and User Acceptance of Information Technology. *MIS Quarterly, 13*(3), 319-340.
- [10] Davis, F. D. (1992). Extrinsic and Intrinsic Motivation to Use Computers in the Workplace. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 22*(14), pp. 1111- 1132.
- [11] Porter, E., and Donthu, N. (2006). Using the technology acceptance model to explain how attitudes determine Internet usage: The role of perceived access barriers and demographics. *Journal of Business Research, 59*, 999 - 1007.
- [12] Rogers, E., (2003), Diffusion of Innovations. 5th Edition. New York: Free Press. ISBN: 0-7432-2209-1
- [13] Compeau, D., Higgins, C. A., and Huff, S. (1999). Social cognitive theory and individual reactions to computing technology: A longitudinal study. *MIS Quarterly, 23*(2), pp.145-158.
- [14] Triandis, H. (1979) Values, Attitudes, and Interpersonal Behaviour. *Nebraska Symposium on Motivation*, vol. 27, pp.195-259.
- [15] Vencatachellum, I., and Pudaruth, S. (2010). Investigating E-Government Services Uptake in Mauritius: A User's Perspective. *International Research Symposium in Service Management*, (August), 24-27.
- [16] Colesca, S., and Liliana, D. (2009). E-government Adoption in Romania. *International Journal of Human and Social Sciences, 42*, 1040-1044.
- [17] Dadayan, L., and Ferro, E. (2005). When Technology Meets the Mind: A Comparative Study of the Technology Acceptance Model. *Electronic Government: 4th International Conference*.
- [18] Al-adawi, Z., Yousafzai, S., and Pallister, J. (2005). Conceptual Model of Citizen Adoption of E-government. *The Second International Conference on Innovations in Information Technology*, 1-10.
- [19] Sedana, I., and Wijaya, W. (2009). Applying UTAUT Model to Reach Better Understanding on The Acceptance and Use of Learning Management System Case Study: Experiential E-Learning of Sanata. *Dharma University*.
- [20] Singh, S. (2006). The Social Dimensions of the Security of Internet banking. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research*, pp.72-78.
- [21] DeLone, W., and McLean, E. (2003). The DeLone and McLean Model of Information Systems Success: A Ten-Year Update. *Journal of Management Information Systems, 19*(4), pp.60-95.
- [22] Wang, Y., (2008). Assessing e-commerce systems success: a respecification and validation of the DeLone and McLean model of IS success. *Information Systems Journal, 18*(5), pp. 529-557.
- [23] Adell, E. (2009). *Driver experience and acceptance of driver support systems- a case of speed adaptation*. ISBN 978-91-628-7947-1.
- [24] Tsavdaris, H. (n.d.). e-ID Federation: Security Token Service implementation using Windows Identity Framework. *Greek Interoperability Center*, 1-2.
- [25] Alotaibi, S. J., Wald, M. (2012) Security, user experience, acceptability attributes for the integration of physical and virtual identity access management systems. In, *2012 International Conference on Information Society (i-Society)*. New York City, US, Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, 277-282.
- [26] Yaghoubi, N., Kord, B., and Shakeri, R. (2010). E-Government Services and user Acceptance: The Unified Models' Perspective. *European Journal of Economics, Finance and Administrative Sciences, 24*(24).
- [27] Spil, T. (2006). User Acceptance and Diffusion of Innovations Summarized. *E-health systems diffusion and use :the innovation, the user and the Use IT model*, pp.1-12.
- [28] Moore, G., & Benbasat, I. (1991). Development of an Instrument to Measure the Perceptions of Adopting an Information Technology Innovation. *Information Systems Research, 2*(3), pp.192-222.
- [29] Chuttur, M. (2009). Overview of the Technology Acceptance Model: Origins, Developments and Future Directions. *Sprouts: Working Papers on Information Systems, 9*(37).
- [30] Venkatesh, V., & Bala, H. (2008). Technology Acceptance Model 3 and a Research Agenda on Interventions. *Decision Sciences, 39*(2), 273-315.
- [31] Chismar, W. G., & Wiley-patton, S. (2002). Does the Extended Technology Acceptance Model Apply to Physicians. *Proceedings of the 36th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*.
- [32] Ronteltap, a, van Trijp, J. C. M., Renes, R. J., & Frewer, L. J. (2007). Consumer acceptance of technology-based food innovations: lessons for the future of nutrigenomics. *Appetite, 49*(1), pp.1-17. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2007.02.002
- [33] Chen, L., Gillenson, M., & Sherrell, D., (2002). Enticing online consumers: an extended technology acceptance perspective. *Information & Management, 39*(8), pp. 705-719. doi:10.1016/S0378-7206(01)00127-6