

eventual objective of this work is to validate the algorithms on large-scale real exam data and, after perhaps some fine-tuning, use ML-capability based methods to rank students instead of the traditional total raw-marks based methods.

ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, STOC '13, pp. 665–674, New York, USA, 2013.

7. Acknowledgements

This work has been supported in part by SERB, DST and BRNS, India.

8. References

- [1] E. Lesage, M. Valcke, and E. Sabbe, “Scoring methods for multiple choice assessment in higher education—Is it still a matter of number right scoring or negative marking?,” *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 188–193, 2013.
- [2] R. L. Karandikar, “Multiple-choice tests, negative marks and an alternative,” *Resonance*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 86–93, 2006.
- [3] R. L. Karandikar, “On multiple choice tests and negative marking,” *Current Science*, vol. 99, no. 8, pp. 1042–1045, 2010.
- [4] F. B. Baker, *The Basics of Item Response Theory*. Education Resources Information Center Publications, 2nd ed., 2001.
- [5] D. C. Briggs and M. Wilson, “Generalizability in item response modeling,” *Journal of Educational Measurement*, vol. 44, no. 2, pp. 131–155, 2007.
- [6] T. H. Wang, K. H. Wang, W. L. Wang, S. C. Huang, and S. Y. Chen, “Web-based assessment and test analyses (WATA) system: development and evaluation,” *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 59–71, 2004.
- [7] GATE, *The Graduate Aptitude Test in Engineering*. IITs and IISc, India, 2015.
- [8] Scilab Enterprises, *Scilab: Free and Open Source software (FOSS) for numerical computation*. France, 2012.
- [9] V.G.Padmaji, S.Cheruku, P.Chaporkar, and M.N.Belur, “A maximum likelihood based computation of student-capability and question-easiness levels,” in *Proceedings of the Ireland International Conference on Education*, Dublin, Ireland, 2015.
- [10] P. Jain, P. Netrapalli, and S. Sanghavi, “Low-rank matrix completion using alternating minimization,” in *Proceedings of the 45th Annual*