

Teaching Tomorrow Today: Responsible AI Integration in Primary Education

Natalie Beach, Amber Spears, Lindsey Braisted
Tennessee Tech University
USA

Abstract

In his paper the we explores the responsible integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into primary education, challenging the persistent myth of the “digital native” and advocating for developmentally appropriate AI literacy from the earliest years of schooling. While AI technologies are increasingly present in students’ lives both at home and in the classroom, many children remain unprepared to use them critically or ethically. Simultaneously, educators report feeling uncertain about how to begin AI instruction or use AI in their own practice. This paper proposes a dual-focus solution: first, to support teachers with low-risk, practical strategies for integrating AI into their planning and instruction; and second, to equip students with foundational knowledge about how AI works and how to engage with it responsibly. Drawing on international literature, practitioner experiences, and hands-on workshops, the paper highlights key tools—including ChatGPT, Eduaide, Diffit, and MagicSchool—and examines their instructional potential, ethical considerations, and classroom applications. The goal is not wholesale adoption of AI, but intentional, scaffolded integration that respects both student agency and teacher expertise. In doing so, primary educators can help shape the next generation of digital citizens: curious, critical, and capable.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence (AI), Primary Education, AI Literacy, Digital Native Myth, Ethical Technology Use, Teacher Preparedness, Multilingual Learners, Technology Integration, TPACK Framework, Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), Instructional Coherence

1. Introduction

The Artificial intelligence (AI) is reshaping nearly every sector of modern life, including the ways we learn, teach, and interact with information. While the integration of AI into secondary and postsecondary education has been widely studied and debated, the role of AI in primary education remains underexplored and inconsistently implemented.

Children in primary grades are already encounter-

ing AI in their daily lives, from voice assistants and content recommendations to AI-generated images and text. These technologies, while powerful, are often treated as toys rather than tools, and the assumption that young learners intuitively understand them because they were “born digital” has persisted far longer than the evidence supports.

In the primary grades, students are often introduced to digital tools for play before they are ever shown how to use them for learning or problem-solving. Contrary to popular belief, access to technology does not equate to competence. Research has shown that children classified as “digital natives” frequently lack the skills to use technology meaningfully in educational settings [1]. Educators are confronted with a pressing dilemma: while students are immersed in AI-powered environments, few formal mechanisms exist to teach them how these systems work, how to use them ethically, or how to evaluate their outputs critically. This disconnect is certainly not remedied by uncertainty among teachers about their own roles. In professional development settings, many express discomfort with teaching AI concepts, fearing they will say or do something incorrectly. Others raise legitimate concerns about data privacy, algorithmic bias, and the ethical implications of introducing AI tools to young children. These concerns are valid, but so is the counterpoint: ignoring AI will not keep it out of children’s lives, but it may leave them unprepared to use it safely and productively.

For multilingual learners in particular, AI tools can be powerful allies. Braisted et al. (2024) describe how teachers used AI to identify embedded language objectives in content lessons, an approach that supported both access and equity [2]. School leaders can extend this equity lens by ensuring all classrooms, not just advanced or tech-savvy ones, have access to the same AI opportunities.

This paper responds to that tension by challenging the persistent myth of the digital native and replacing it with a more developmentally informed perspective: that children, regardless of their exposure to technology, need intentional, guided instruction to use AI critically, ethically, and productively. It argues that we must first teach students to understand and engage

with AI including what it is, how it works, and how to use it responsibly before they can fully benefit from its potential in learning environments. In primary classrooms, this means helping young learners make sense of where information comes from, how tools “make decisions,” and when to trust or question technology. Recognizing that many teachers feel unprepared to take on this role, the paper also proposes ways to help educators build confidence and capacity through low-risk, practical integration strategies. Drawing on educational theory, practitioner experience, and international literature, the paper outlines key concepts, dispels misconceptions, and presents actionable approaches for primary teachers. The aim is not to advocate for blanket adoption of AI tools, but to offer a path forward, one that begins with teachers using AI to support their own work and expands toward meaningful, developmentally appropriate student engagement. This approach aligns with global frameworks that call for intentional, age-sensitive AI instruction beginning in the primary years, prioritizing curiosity, ethical awareness, and foundational understanding over technical complexity [3]. The future is not optional, and neither is the responsibility to prepare both students and teachers to thrive in it.

2. Educators at a Crossroads

As AI technologies begin to appear more frequently in classroom resources, lesson planning tools, and student-facing applications, teachers find themselves at a crossroads. On one hand, AI holds potential for differentiation, time-saving automation, and enhanced student engagement. On the other, many educators report feeling underprepared, unsupported, or uncomfortable with its integration. This hesitation is not a reflection of unwillingness, but a rational response to the complexity of introducing new, rapidly evolving technologies into already demanding teaching environments.

In recent workshops and training sessions, teachers repeatedly voiced questions about who was ultimately responsible for teaching students how to use AI “correctly.” Several expressed reluctance to assume this role, citing the lack of formal training, curricular guidance, and ethical clarity. These concerns align with the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), which suggests that a person’s willingness to adopt a new technology is driven by two primary factors: perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use [4]. In the context of AI, many educators may recognize the theoretical benefits of adaptive assessment tools, planning supports, or summarization capabilities but struggle with the steep learning curve, time investment, or uncertainty about risks. When teachers do not feel confident that the technology is manageable or directly tied to

instructional outcomes, they are less likely to adopt it in meaningful ways. This is especially true in K–5 settings, where teachers must balance technology use with developmental needs, curriculum pacing, and parent concerns.

A complementary framework, Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK), further illustrates this challenge. TPACK posits that effective integration of technology requires a blend of content knowledge, pedagogical knowledge, and technological knowledge [5]. While most teachers possess the first two, the third remains underdeveloped for many, especially when it comes to artificial intelligence. Without clear models, age-appropriate guidance, and opportunities for hands-on practice, teachers remain cautious and inconsistent in their implementation of AI tools. As Long and Magerko point out, the absence of design considerations tailored to early childhood and elementary education continues to limit how AI literacy is approached in practice [6].

Rather than viewing this hesitation as resistance, it should be interpreted as a call for deeper support. Educators are not passive recipients of innovation; they are curators of learning experiences and stewards of student safety. Their questions reflect a desire to do things with both with intention and responsibility. If school systems and professional development structures fail to answer these questions, teachers may either opt out of AI altogether or rely on fragmented, sometimes questionable, approaches. Both outcomes ultimately shortchange students. This practitioner uncertainty is not hypothetical and is echoed across international forums. In a recent International Literacy Association blog, Spears writes that “teachers want to do the right thing with AI, but they’re afraid of making the wrong move, especially when the rules aren’t clear” [7].

2.1. The Cost of Avoidance

While educator concerns about teaching AI are valid and well documented, choosing to avoid the topic altogether carries consequences that extend far beyond the classroom. Artificial intelligence is already shaping students’ digital experiences through platforms that recommend content, filter information, and even generate homework responses. Without intentional instruction, students are left to navigate this complex landscape on their own, often with limited understanding of how these systems function, what biases may be embedded in them, or how to use them responsibly.

Several teachers participating in recent workshops acknowledged this paradox. One said, “If we don’t teach them how to use it, someone else, or something else, will.” Another added, “You can pretend they aren’t using it, but they are. They’re just doing it without guidance.” For young children, this lack of

guidance may result in uncritical trust in AI tools, mimicking what they see without questioning how or why information is presented. This gap between student use and educator support has been further explored in professional reflections. Beach et al. describe how some students are excited by the creative possibilities of AI tools, but that engagement without boundaries can quickly turn into confusion or misuse. They argue that “without teacher scaffolding, AI use becomes more about novelty than learning” [8]. These comments reflect a growing recognition among educators that inaction is itself a choice; and one that may deepen the divide between students who receive thoughtful AI education and those who do not.

The risk is not merely technological illiteracy. As researchers have noted, lack of early instruction in AI may lead to long-term inequities in digital competence, critical thinking, and participation in future economies [9]. Students from under-resourced schools or communities are particularly vulnerable if access to AI tools is inconsistent and their use is unstructured. In these contexts, the absence of guidance can complicate existing disparities in digital fluency and academic achievement.

AI tools are not neutral. They are trained on existing data sets that may reflect societal biases, and they often operate through opaque algorithms that produce outputs without clear explanations. When students use these tools without understanding their limitations, they may mistake speed for accuracy or personalization for truth. Ethical dilemmas around plagiarism, data ownership, and content reliability are unlikely to be addressed unless educators make space for those conversations in the curriculum. Framing ethical use around student rights can be especially compelling. Spears et al. connect AI education to ILA’s Children’s Rights to Read, urging educators to ensure AI tools reflect diversity, accessibility, and student agency. As they note, “When we pair AI with students’ rights to access diverse, inclusive, and meaningful texts, we stop treating AI as a shortcut and start treating it as a mirror of our educational values” [10].

Avoiding AI may seem like a safe choice, but it creates a vacuum in which students absorb information and habits from peers, social media, or AI tools themselves: none of which are guaranteed to prioritize safety, ethics, or accuracy. To prepare students for the world they already inhabit, educators must engage with AI, not evade it. The cost of avoidance is not just missed opportunities; it is miseducation by omission.

2.2. The Digital Native Myth

The notion of the “digital native,” a generation of students assumed to be naturally fluent with technology due to their birth into a digital world, has become a widespread but misleading narrative in

education. While this term was originally intended to highlight generational differences in exposure to digital tools, it has too often been used to justify the absence of explicit instruction in digital and AI literacy. This misconception has led to a dangerous pedagogical gap: students may be highly skilled in entertainment-driven technology use but remain unprepared to use digital tools critically, ethically, or productively in learning contexts.

As Scolari and colleagues point out, digital competence cannot be conflated with digital exposure. Their study across multiple countries found that young people’s interaction with technology is often limited to a narrow range of platforms focused on socialization and entertainment [1]. The assumption that children intuitively understand how to use AI tools for research, writing, or communication overlooks the reality that many have never been taught to navigate digital tools for these purposes. The ability to operate a touchscreen or generate an image with a single prompt is not equivalent to understanding how AI systems work, what their limitations are, or how to engage with them thoughtfully. Even in kindergarten, children may know how to tap and swipe, but that does not mean they understand why certain images appear or how to tell if something is real.

Teachers in recent professional learning sessions expressed similar concerns. Several noted that while students can manipulate apps and devices quickly, they struggle to use technology as an academic tool. One educator described the situation succinctly: “They know how to use them as toys, not tools.” Comments like these point to the need for foundational instruction. Students require structured opportunities to learn how AI works, where its data comes from, how outputs are generated, and how to assess reliability and bias. Such instruction is not about imposing technical expertise on young learners but about empowering them to think critically in a world increasingly shaped by algorithms. Debunking the myth of the digital native is the first step toward designing meaningful, developmentally appropriate AI education in primary classrooms. Sorting objects by characteristics or making predictions based on past information mirrors how some AI systems function. Teachers do not need advanced technical backgrounds to introduce these ideas. Children’s books, unplugged coding activities, and teacher-guided discussions can effectively build foundational understanding. The goal is to demystify AI early, fostering curiosity and healthy skepticism rather than blind trust or fear.

3. Building Blocks for AI Literacy in K–6 Education

If we accept that avoidance is not a viable option, the next question becomes: what should we teach students about artificial intelligence in the primary

years, and how should we teach it? The answer is not to turn young learners into computer scientists, but to equip them with age-appropriate understanding, habits of inquiry, and ethical awareness. AI literacy is not just a technical skill set. It is a foundation for digital citizenship in an increasingly automated world. Research from international frameworks and teacher training models suggest three interlocking domains essential for building AI literacy in elementary classrooms: concepts, applications, and ethics [4][5][6]. These pillars provide a scaffold that teachers can use to guide curriculum design, classroom conversations, and tool selection.

3.1. Concepts

Students need a basic conceptual understanding of what AI is and how it differs from other technologies. This includes recognizing that AI systems are not magic, but they are built by people, trained on data, and guided by programmed rules. Even young children can explore ideas like pattern recognition, classification, and input/output through age-appropriate metaphors and activities. For example, sorting objects by characteristics or making predictions based on past information mirrors how some AI systems function.

Teachers do not need advanced technical backgrounds to introduce these ideas. Children's books, unplugged coding activities, and teacher-guided discussions can effectively build foundational understanding. The goal is to demystify AI early, fostering curiosity and healthy skepticism rather than blind trust or fear.

3.2. Applications

Once students grasp that AI is a tool, they can begin to explore its uses, both in their own lives and in the classroom. This might include AI-assisted writing tools, translation apps, text-to-speech functions, or adaptive learning platforms. Teachers can guide students to compare their own thinking with AI-generated responses, reflecting on accuracy, tone, and bias.

At the same time, students should understand that AI is not always right. Several real-world classroom scenarios have shown how AI can foster curiosity and engagement when used critically. One example from an ILA blog post explains how AI image generation sparked a student inquiry project about habitats and storytelling, a powerful reminder that AI does not replace creativity but can ignite it [8]. By engaging in structured activities that compare human and AI performance such as storytelling, drawing, or answering open-ended questions, students begin to see AI as a partner, not a replacement. This also creates space for cross-curricular integration, as AI can be tied to literacy, science, social studies, and

even art.

3.3. Ethics

The ethical dimension of AI is especially critical for primary students, who are still developing foundational understandings of privacy, authorship, fairness, and decision-making. Young children may easily accept AI outputs at face value or share personal information without realizing the risks. As educators introduce AI tools, they must also help children build the cognitive and emotional habits necessary for ethical technology use, starting with simple, consistent routines and conversations.

In many classrooms, teachers have voiced concern about how to approach these topics with young learners. Some worry about their own lack of expertise, while others fear inadvertently introducing risk. One teacher shared, "I don't want to be the reason a kid gives away their identity online." Another asked, "Who even decides what's okay to use?" These are real and valid concerns, and they point to the need for proactive, developmentally appropriate instruction in AI ethics rather than avoidance or deferral. Holmes, Bialik, and Fadel argue that early AI education must be framed not only as a technological imperative but as a moral one, emphasizing the cultivation of responsible digital citizens starting in elementary school [11].

Teaching ethics at the elementary level does not require a separate unit or textbook. Instead, it can be woven into daily instruction through structured prompts and guided reflection. For example, questions like "Who made this?", "What do they want me to think?", and "Can this be wrong?" can be repeated across subjects whenever students interact with digital content. These prompts foster early habits of skepticism and inquiry; habits that help children pause, consider context, and question reliability before blindly trusting a result or reusing it.

Practical classroom conversations might include discussing what kind of personal information should never be typed into a chatbot, why an AI-generated story might include stereotypes, or when it is appropriate to ask for help from a digital assistant versus a teacher. In primary grades, these discussions can be grounded in familiar situations like sharing, fairness, and telling the truth before connecting those values to digital behavior. Comparing human-generated and AI-generated pictures can spark conversations about originality and copying, especially when students are learning what it means to create their own work.

One of the challenges with AI tools is that many operate as "black boxes," offering answers without explaining how they got them [6]. When children encounter this opacity, they may mistake speed or fluency for truth. It becomes essential, then, to help them understand that fast does not always mean right,

and helpful does not always mean fair. Ethical instruction can help students understand that AI reflects patterns found in its training data and that data can be incomplete, outdated, or biased.

Educators must also model transparency in their own AI use. Narrating thought processes such as, “Let’s see what the AI suggests, but I’ll check it against our book,” or “I don’t like this version because it doesn’t match our classroom values,” shows students how thoughtful adults make tech-related decisions. This kind of modeling is especially important for younger students, who are still learning how to distinguish between a tool and a truth-teller.

As global education systems begin embedding AI literacy into standards and curricula, ethics is increasingly emphasized as a key component, not just a side topic for older students. International frameworks stress the importance of starting early, before habits form based on entertainment or misinformation alone. However, the global implementation remains inconsistent. Even in places with robust AI education policies, implementation in primary grades lags due to limited training, age-appropriate materials, and infrastructure gaps.

Yet waiting for national reform misses the urgency and potential of the moment. Individual teachers can lead the way by embedding ethical habits into their own classrooms today. Whether it is teaching first graders not to share their names with a chatbot or guiding fifth graders through a conversation about digital fairness, early instruction sets the stage for lifelong discernment. These small but consistent moments of reflection, questioning, and modeling can create safe, informed spaces for students to grow into ethical digital citizens.

In short, AI literacy without ethics is incomplete, and ethics education without developmental sensitivity is ineffective. For primary educators, the goal is not to burden children with abstract dilemmas, but to provide a steady compass as they begin navigating an AI-influenced world.

4. Practical Integration: What It Looks Like in Real Classrooms

Turning principles into practice is often the greatest challenge in education, especially when it comes to emerging technologies like AI. Many teachers are interested in using AI tools but report uncertainty about how to do so in developmentally appropriate and instructionally relevant ways. Others fear that experimentation will lead to distraction, ethical missteps, or confusion. The key is not to start with advanced use cases, but with thoughtful, accessible entry points that model responsible use for both teachers and students. This section outlines how specific AI tools have been meaningfully used by educators in planning, instruction, and reflection in primary classrooms.

4.1. Where to Begin

For many primary teachers, the thought of bringing AI into the classroom can feel overwhelming. But integrating AI doesn’t mean handing control over to a robot. It starts with using simple tools to make your own teaching life easier and then gradually modeling and sharing those tools with students in safe, meaningful ways. Begin by experimenting with AI tools to support your planning and preparation in low-stakes ways. These tools are not meant to replace your expertise, but to extend it. Think of them as planning partners that offer ideas and drafts while leaving you in control of the final decision. Try using AI to:

- Brainstorm lesson hooks or student-friendly examples for your next unit
- Generate a writing prompt with a specific theme or vocabulary goal
- Rewrite a newsletter or permission slip in family-friendly language
- Differentiate reading passages by Lexile level or language demand
- Create behavior supports or IEP suggestions that you can personalize

Teachers in our workshops found success with:

- MagicSchool.ai for lesson planning, differentiation, and documentation
- Eduaide.ai for exit tickets, scaffolds, and culturally relevant content
- Canva’s Magic Write for visual supports and writing prompts

Once you have explored how AI can support your own work, consider how your students might begin to interact with it under your guidance. You do not need to teach them to use the technology independently on day one. Look for ways to invite AI into classroom activities that support thinking, creativity, and conversation. Keep the experience grounded in developmentally appropriate tasks and your instructional goals:

- Compare-and-contrast: Have students read a class story, then see how an AI version compares. Which one is more descriptive? Which one makes more sense?
- Prompt tweaking: Ask students how to rewrite a prompt to get a better or more helpful AI answer.

It is a great way to teach clarity and precision.

- Image investigations: Use an AI-generated image to launch a writing or science activity. What story does this image tell? What is real and what is not?
- Fact-check scavenger hunts: Ask students to test whether an AI answer is right, wrong, or somewhere in between using trusted books or websites.

Throughout this process, model how to use AI thoughtfully. When you show students how you think critically about AI outputs, they begin to internalize those habits too. Let them hear your reasoning as you review what the AI suggests, accept what fits, and revise what does not. This transparency teaches discernment, not dependence. You might say:

- This tool helped me write our directions, but I made some changes, so they make more sense to us.
- I am checking what it gave me because sometimes it gets things wrong.
- Let's see if this is a good suggestion. We get to decide if it works for our class.

You can even co-create norms and expectations with students. Talk openly about when it is okay to use AI, when it is not, and what kinds of questions they should ask when they are unsure. These routines promote agency, responsibility, and a shared understanding that AI is just one tool:

- When should we use AI?
- When is it better to think on our own?
- What questions can we ask when we are unsure?

Let students help you build a simple classroom agreement around AI use, grounded in safety, curiosity, and respect. Post it where everyone can see it. The goal is not for students to master a tool, but to begin thinking with care and creativity about how and why we use technology:

By taking one thoughtful step at a time, you can help your students build not just skills, but habits of mind that will serve them for years to come. By starting small, staying curious, and modeling reflective use, we can create a classroom where AI supports, not overshadows, your teaching.

More importantly, your students begin to see themselves as thoughtful participants in a world where technology is always evolving. There are some tools we have used in workshops to allow teachers an

entry point to using AI. Here are some basic capabilities of these tools for primary classrooms.

4.2. ChatGPT

As a general-purpose tool, ChatGPT is often the first AI many families encounter outside of school. Whether helping a parent draft a work email, generating a birthday card message, or answering a child's question during homework, ChatGPT is a general-purpose tool. Because of its broad capabilities and public availability, ChatGPT represents a bridge between the digital world students live in and the academic world teachers create. Its flexibility makes it a valuable entry point for classroom use, particularly for teachers looking to explore AI without needing specialized educational platforms.

In the primary classroom, ChatGPT can support a wide range of instructional needs. Teachers might use it to generate variations of a reading passage to match different comprehension levels, brainstorm writing prompts tailored to a theme or vocabulary set, or even summarize grade-level standards in accessible language for lesson planning. During read-aloud preparation, ChatGPT can offer questions that focus on inferencing, vocabulary, or author's craft, which teachers can review and select based on their instructional goals. ChatGPT also opens opportunities for modeling critical thinking and revision. A teacher might input a student-written paragraph and ask the AI to improve it while maintaining the same main idea. The class can then analyze the suggested changes together, discussing word choice, sentence variety, and tone. This process not only supports writing development but introduces students to the idea that AI-generated content should be reviewed and not accepted blindly.

Beyond content generation, ChatGPT provides a way to teach digital literacy and responsible technology use:

Teachers can intentionally share questions with the tool that produces biased, vague, or even inaccurate results, then guide students in investigating why.

During a social studies unit, a teacher might ask ChatGPT about a historical event, then challenge the class to cross-reference the answer with books or primary sources. In doing so, students learn that AI is not a source of truth, but a starting point for inquiry. ChatGPT's strength lies in its adaptability.

Teachers can begin using it in low-stakes, teacher-facing ways and gradually introduce it to students through modeled activities. Because many children are already familiar with similar tools at home, bringing ChatGPT into the classroom intentionally and critically helps demystify its role and set healthy boundaries around its use. It becomes not a novelty,

but a teachable text: one student can question, learn from, and compare against human-created content.

4.3. Eduaide

Eduaide has emerged as a powerful tool for teachers who need to quickly create tiered or adapted assignments. One of its strengths lies in its customization interface, which allows educators to define the skill, level, format, and even cultural relevance of the content being generated. Teachers have used Eduaide to create three versions of the same math problem: one with manipulatives suggested, one with partial support, and one fully open-ended.

In an upper elementary class focused on informational writing, a teacher used Eduaide to develop exit tickets that aligned with a mini-lesson on main ideas and supporting details. These were administered on paper, but each one had slightly different levels of complexity. Students were not told which “tier” they had received, and the teacher used their responses to make fluid instructional groupings for the next day.

Eduaide has also proven useful for quick adaptations. When a lesson on environmental science did not seem to connect with her multilingual learners, one teacher used the tool to reframe the examples using local landmarks and familiar vocabulary. The ability to edit and regenerate within seconds meant students were re-engaged without the teacher losing planning time to repetitive formatting.

3.4. Diffit

Diffit has been especially well received by literacy specialists and general education teachers working with students reading below grade level. The tool accepts a URL or pasted text and instantly produces multiple versions of the passage tailored to different Lexile ranges, along with comprehension questions and vocabulary support. More than a translation engine, Diffit uses AI to identify key ideas and simplify sentence structure while maintaining the integrity of the original text.

A teacher can pull an article from a news website about animal migration and use Diffit to generate a simplified version for struggling readers and a slightly more complex version for advanced students. The class can hold a unified discussion because everyone read about the same topic, but at their own access point.

Teachers have also used Diffit for instructional coherence. Instructional coherence means that every part of a student’s learning experience, from core instruction to intervention, supports the same grade-level knowledge, skills, and concepts [12]. Instead of giving students disconnected materials during small-group time or Tier II support, educators can use adapted resources that still match the topics,

vocabulary, and comprehension goals of the main classroom lesson. This approach allows students who are reading below grade level to meaningfully engage in shared discussions, writing prompts, and learning tasks. Because Diffit provides simplified texts without changing the topic or structure of the lesson, it serves as a bridge between core and supplemental instruction, ensuring students don’t fall behind simply because they need different entry points.

4.5. MagicSchoolAI

MagicSchoolAI, often known as just “MagicSchool,” is a web-based platform designed specifically for educators, offering over 60 task-specific tools that can be customized by grade level, subject area, and student need. Unlike general-purpose AI tools that rely on open-ended prompting, MagicSchool organizes its capabilities into educator-friendly templates, making it approachable for teachers with limited AI experience. Users do not have to start from a blank prompt. They simply choose a function (like “lesson plan generator,” “reading passage adapter,” or “behavior intervention plan”), input a few short details, and receive a usable draft in seconds.

One of MagicSchool’s standout features is its built-in support for differentiation. Teachers can generate the same passage at multiple Lexile levels, adjust complexity, or embed specific vocabulary and sentence structures appropriate for multilingual learners or students with Individualized Education Programs (IEPs). This is particularly helpful in inclusive classrooms, where students may be engaging with the same topic but require vastly different reading supports. The platform eliminates the time-consuming task of rewriting materials manually or searching for leveled resources elsewhere.

Beyond reading support, MagicSchool offers tools that streamline the logistics of teaching. Teachers can draft behavior plans by selecting student needs from dropdown menus and receiving strength-based strategies grounded in positive behavioral interventions. There are options for writing IEP goal suggestions, modifying classroom directions, and even creating classroom jobs that align with student interests and developmental stages. These features reduce planning fatigue while reinforcing personalized instruction.

Another distinction is MagicSchool’s robust communication tools. Educators can create family newsletters, behavior update emails, and progress summaries in seconds, using friendly, jargon-free language that maintains professionalism and warmth. Messages can be generated in multiple languages, increasing accessibility for families whose first language is not English. This built-in translation feature makes MagicSchool particularly valuable in

multilingual communities, bridging gaps between school and home.

Compared to tools like ChatGPT or Eduaide, which require more flexible prompting and interpretation, MagicSchool minimizes the need for deep AI fluency. Its user interface and embedded scaffolds remove the guesswork and reduce trial-and-error. Teachers can and should still edit outputs, but they do not have to navigate complex prompt engineering to get high-quality drafts. This makes MagicSchool ideal for busy elementary teachers who need fast, tailored content they can review and personalize rather than build from scratch.

Whether planning a week of literacy instruction, drafting a restorative behavior plan, or sending home updates in multiple languages, MagicSchool serves as an adaptable, educator-first platform. It respects the teacher's role as the final decision-maker while reducing the time and stress associated with high-quality lesson preparation. For primary educators looking to begin integrating AI in meaningful, manageable ways, MagicSchool offers a practical and powerful place to start.

As teachers grow more comfortable using MagicSchool to support their planning, the platform offers a natural next step: transitioning from behind-the-scenes use to direct student engagement through MagicStudent. This companion platform is designed for safe, structured AI use by students under teacher supervision. MagicStudent allows educators to assign writing prompts, reading tasks, or reflection questions that the student completes with AI support. Unlike open-ended AI platforms, MagicStudent limits what students can do and see, giving teachers full control over the learning environment.

The benefit of this progression is twofold. First, teachers who have already used MagicSchool to generate content will recognize familiar workflows and feel confident navigating the interface. Second, students can be introduced to AI gradually, within the boundaries set by their teacher, using tools that are intentionally developmentally appropriate:

For example, a teacher might assign a creative writing task through MagicStudent where the AI suggests three different story openers, allowing the student to choose one and continue writing in their own words. Or, in a science unit, students might use MagicStudent to ask questions about habitats and then compare the AI's answer with what they find in books or class discussion.

The slow release of responsibility mirrors effective instructional practice in other domains: model first, then guide, then gradually empower students to use the tool independently and ethically. With the MagicSchool and MagicStudent platforms working in tandem, primary teachers have a scaffolded path from AI exploration to AI integration,

all while keeping student safety and agency at the center.

5. Recommendations for Teachers, Leaders, and Developers

As AI becomes more visible in primary classrooms, the question is no longer whether we should teach students to engage with these tools, but how. Integrating AI responsibly means considering not only what students need, but also what teachers, leaders, and developers must do to support that journey. This section combines ethical considerations, implementation strategies, and role-specific recommendations into a unified guide for action.

Teachers who participated in AI literacy workshops consistently emphasized one theme: AI tools are helpful starting points but not substitutes for teacher judgment. One teacher reflected that AI gives her a good starting point, but she has to use her "teacher brain" to make sure it works for her class. Similar reflections reinforce the idea that AI, when selected carefully and used intentionally, can reduce workload without compromising instructional quality or teacher autonomy. Integration is not about outsourcing the art of teaching. It is about reclaiming time and energy for the parts of teaching that matter most.

5.1. Prioritizing Ethics and Data Privacy

In workshops, ethics and privacy surface as top concerns. Teachers express anxiety about data ownership, surveillance, and the unintended consequences of student interaction with AI. Who actually owns the work students put into these tools? One teacher worried aloud: "I don't want to be the reason a child's information ends up in the wrong hands." These are not irrational fears. Many AI platforms collect user data to refine models or personalize output, and few are explicitly designed with primary-aged learners in mind. Transparency about how data is stored and used is often lacking.

A review of AI education literature found that fewer than 60% of studies involve young children addressed ethical concerns at all [5]. This issue, often referred to as the 'black box problem,' is widely acknowledged in AI literacy research as a major barrier to student trust and transparency [6]. These concerns point to an urgent need for systems-level transparency and school-based conversations about responsible tool selection. To begin addressing these issues, the following strategies can guide ethical implementation:

- Use educator-facing platforms when possible, especially those that do not require student logins.
- Choose tools that offer clear documentation of

their data policies.

- Talk with students about what AI knows, how it works, and why it can be wrong.
- Model digital discernment by showing students how adults evaluate, accept, or reject AI outputs.

It is not just about keeping kids safe from AI. It is also about helping them use it safely, fairly, and wisely. Embedding ethics into AI instruction from the beginning helps students develop digital habits rooted in reflection, consent, and respect.

5.2. For Classroom Teachers

Primary teachers are often the first to introduce students to technology beyond play. Their role in shaping AI literacy is critical, and their needs are specific. Teachers benefit most from tools that are intuitive, customizable, and low-risk:

- Start small. Identify one planning task like differentiating a text or drafting parent communication, and try an AI tool to assist.
- Use AI as a thought partner, not a decision-maker. Review and revise everything before using it with students.
- Narrate your use. Tell students what you are doing when you use AI. Phrases like, "Let's see what this says, and decide if we agree," build transparency.
- Establish shared norms. Create classroom agreements about when AI is useful, when it is not, and how to ask questions about its output.

In primary classrooms, AI instruction might begin with picture sorting, story generation, or prompt comparisons, not technical definitions. What matters most is helping children develop curiosity, caution, and confidence.

5.3. For School Leaders and Professional Development Providers

Supporting teachers in this new territory requires more than motivational keynotes or checklists of tools. It requires a culture of exploration and shared learning:

- Prioritize hands-on learning. Teachers need protected time to try tools, talk with peers, and revise their practice.
- Curate and approve a list of AI tools that align with student safety, developmental appropriateness, and instructional goals.
- Embed AI within existing initiatives like digital

literacy, media literacy, and universal design, not as a stand-alone topic.

- Celebrate teacher experimentation. Invite educators to share what is working, what is not, and what they're still figuring out.

5.4. For Developers of Educational AI Tools

As developers shape the tools teachers and students will use, their choices have lasting impact. Educators want tools that are:

- Transparent: Tools must explain how they work, what data they use, and what limitations exist.
- Teacher-first: Allow educators to toggle off student-facing features or customize content without deep tech knowledge.
- Inclusive: Ensure tools work across devices, languages, and learning needs. Accessibility is not a feature, but a foundation.

Designing with the youngest users in mind who are still learning to read and cannot, yet type will expand the reach and relevance of AI literacy.

5.5. A Shared Responsibility

Our recommendations point to the need for a cultural shift: from fear to understanding, from reactive tech use to proactive modeling, and from avoidance to thoughtful engagement. Every stakeholder including teacher, administrator, or developer has a role in shaping how students experience artificial intelligence.

The goal is not to make every child fluent in AI use but to make every child fluent in asking, "Is this helpful? Is this fair? Is this true?" With courage, collaboration, and intention, educators can help shape a generation of critical thinkers ready not only to use technology, but to challenge it.

6. References

- [1] Scolari, C. A., Masanet, J., Guerrero-Pico, A., and M. Estabes. (2023). *Young People, Media and Communication in a Changing World*, Cham: Palgrave Macmillan.
- [1] Braisted, L., Spears, A., and Beach, N. (2023). The power of artificial intelligence in supporting multilingual learners. *International Literacy Association Blog*, Apr. 2024. <https://www.literacyworldwide.org> (Access Date: 8 May 2025).
- [1] Ang, K. K., Pathan, S. D. and Leong, S. (2024). A systematic review of AI education frameworks for primary and secondary students. *Education and Information Technologies*, vol. 29, pp. 781–805.

- [1] Davis, F. D. (2024). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology,” *MIS Quarterly*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 319–340, 1989.
- [5] Koehler, M. J., and Mishra, P. (2009). What is technological pedagogical content knowledge (TPACK)?” *Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 60–70.
- [6] Long, T., and Magerko, J. M. (2020). What is AI literacy? Competencies and design considerations. in *Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, Honolulu, HI, USA, April, pp. 1–16.
- [7] Spears, A. (2024). AI as an ally: Enhancing education while upholding integrity. *International Literacy Association Blog*, January. <https://www.literacyworldwide.org> (Access Date: 7 February 2025).
- [8] Beach, N., Spears, A., and L. Braisted, (2024). Three AI tools that can foster student agency. *International Literacy Association Blog*, February. <https://www.literacyworldwide.org> (Access Date: 3 March 2025).
- [9] Luckin, A., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., and Forcier, L. B. (2016). *Intelligence Unleashed: An Argument for AI in Education*, London: Pearson.
- [10] Spears, A., Beach, N., and Braisted, L., (2024). Leveraging AI to bring ILA’s Children’s Rights to Read to life. *International Literacy Association Blog*, March. <https://www.literacyworldwide.org> (Access Date: 9 March 2025).
- [11] Holmes, J., Bialik, K., and Fadel, A. (2019). *Artificial Intelligence in Education: Promises and Implications for Teaching and Learning*, Boston, MA: Center for Curriculum Redesign.
- [12] SCORE. (2023). *Instructional Coherence Toolkit: A Guide for Implementing High-Dosage Tutoring in K–3 Literacy*. <https://tnscore.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/08/Instructional-Coherence-Self-Assessment-And-Planning-Guide-1.pdf> (Access Date: 26 March 2025).