

and omitted technological development. First, the authors showed the core role that data plays in smart societies and how this influences the lives of individuals. Later, the authors emphasized the urge to take action to limit the harm that can accompany Smart Society by introducing a new concept. The main purpose of the paper is to introduce a novel concept of Smart Sovereignty that aims to make people the real sovereigns in the new Smart Society through new legal organizations. A brief history of sovereignty was discussed, and the transformation of the definition of sovereignty from pure political into social and technological features.

After the definition, the authors proposed a framework to maintain and improve Smart Sovereignty to make it sustainable within the society and for the people. Future research should try to answer questions around quantifying and measuring sovereignty. It is essentially the question that measures and determines the success or failure of Smart Sovereignty. The maturity and usability of sovereignty is another important matter that would require looking into in the future. Additionally, identifying who is the sovereign individual in the future Smart Society is another interesting topic to investigate; what are the characteristics of these individuals, and what roles they play for sovereignty starting with the rights and obligations of individuals in a modern smart nation?

9. Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Canton of Fribourg, Switzerland, through the Smart Living Lab project at the University of Fribourg.

10. References

- [1] T. Takahashi, "Behavioral Economics of Addiction in the Age of a Super Smart Society: Society 5.0," *Oukan*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 119–122, 2018, doi: 10.11487/trafst.12.2_119.
- [2] M. Warkentin and R. Willison, "Behavioral and policy issues in information systems security: the insider threat," *European Journal of Information Systems*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 101–105, 2009.
- [3] M. Aldabbas, X. Xie, S. Teufel, and B. Teufel, "Smart Society Challenges: from Technical and Societal Perspectives," in *Proc. 2020 International Conference on Smart Grid and Clean Energy Technologies (ICSGCE 2020)*, Sarawak, Malaysia, 2020.
- [4] P. Prisecaru, "Challenges of the fourth industrial revolution," *Knowledge Horizons. Economics*, vol. 8, no. 1, p. 57, 2016.
- [5] M. Fukuyama, "Society 5.0: Aiming for a New Human-Centered Society," *Japan SPOTLIGHT*, pp. 47–50, 2018.
- [6] A. A. Rahman, U. Z. Abdul Hamid, and T. A. Chin, "Emerging Technologies with Disruptive Effects: A Review," *PERINTIS eJournal*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 111–128, 2017.
- [7] X. Caron, R. Bosua, S. B. Maynard, and A. Ahmad, "The Internet of Things (IoT) and its impact on individual privacy: An Australian perspective," *Computer Law and Security Review*, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 4–15, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.clsr.2015.12.001.
- [8] M. Jiang, "Authoritarian informationalism: China's approach to Internet sovereignty," *SAIS Review of International Affairs*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 71–89, 2010.
- [9] N. Maréchal, "Networked authoritarianism and the geopolitics of information: Understanding Russian Internet policy," *Media and Communication*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 29–41, 2017.
- [10] Cabinet Office, Government of Japan, Science and Technology Policy. Council for Science, Technology, and Innovation Society 5.0. [Online]. Available: https://www8.cao.go.jp/cstp/english/society5_0/index.html (Accessed: 25 June, 2019).
- [11] G. Graetz and G. Michaels, "Is modern technology responsible for jobless recoveries?," *American Economic Review*, vol. 107, no. 5, pp. 168–173, 2017.
- [12] J. Schmandt, R. Wilson, S. E. Smith, and B. H. Muller, *Promoting high technology industry: Initiatives and policies for state governments*: Routledge, 2019.
- [13] R. W. Rumberger, "High technology and job loss," *Technology in Society*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 263–284, 1984, doi: 10.1016/0160-791X(84)90022-8.
- [14] M. Annunziata and H. Bourgeois, "The future of work: how G20 countries can leverage digital-industrial innovations into stronger high-quality jobs growth," *Economics E-Journal*, 2018, doi: 10.5018/economics-ejournal.ja.2018-42.
- [15] G. Su, "Unemployment in the AI Age," *AI Matters*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 35–43, 2018.
- [16] M. Y. Vardi, "Is information technology destroying the middle class?," *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 58, no. 2, p. 5, 2015.
- [17] L. Glanville, "The Myth of "Traditional" Sovereignty," *Int Stud Q*, vol. 57, no. 1, pp. 79–90, 2013, doi: 10.1111/isqu.12004.
- [18] J. S. Barkin and B. Cronin, "The state and the nation: changing norms and the rules of sovereignty in international relations," *International organization*, vol. 48, no. 1, pp. 107–130, 1994.
- [19] UNGA Resolution, "Declaration on the Inadmissibility of Intervention in the Domestic Affairs of States and the Protection of their Independence and Sovereignty," *The American Journal of International Law*, vol. 60, no. 3, p. 662, 1966, doi: 10.2307/2197280.

- [20] United Nations, Security Council Committee established pursuant to resolution 1970 (2011) concerning Libya | United Nations Security Council. [Online]. Available: <https://www.un.org/securitycouncil/sanctions-committees/security-council-committee-established-pursuant-resolution-1970-2011-concerning> (Accessed: 12 May, 2020).
- [21] R. Grotenhuis, *Nation-building as necessary effort in fragile States*: Amsterdam University Press, 2016.
- [22] T. S. Wu, "Cyberspace Sovereignty—The Internet and the International System," *Harv. JL and Tech.*, vol. 10, p. 647, 1996.
- [23] R. Capurro, Digital Ethics. In: *The Academy of Korean Studies and Korean National Commission for UNESCO (Eds.):2010, 207–216*.
- [24] L. Floridi, "Soft Ethics and the Governance of the Digital," *Philosophy and Technology*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 1–8, 2018, doi: 10.1007/s13347-018-0303-9.
- [25] A. AlHogail, "Improving IoT Technology Adoption through Improving Consumer Trust," *Technologies*, vol. 6, no. 3, p. 64, 2018, doi: 10.3390/technologies6030064.
- [26] S. Teufel and B. Teufel, "The Positive Momentum of Crowds for the Implementation of Smart Environments," in *Proceedings International Conference on Social Sciences and Management, Beijing, China, 2019*, pp. 78-88.
- [27] Y. Shiroishi, K. Uchiyama, and N. Suzuki, "Society 5.0: For Human Security and Well-Being," *Computer*, vol. 51, no. 7, pp. 91–95, 2018, doi: 10.1109/MC.2018.3011041.
- [28] Z. Tufekci, We're building a dystopia just to make people click on ads. [Online]. Available: https://www.ted.com/talks/zeynep_tufekci_we_re_building_a_dystopia_just_to_make_people_click_on_ads? (Accessed: 11 May, 2020).
- [29] S. Russell, *Human compatible: Artificial intelligence and the problem of control*: Penguin, 2019.
- [30] M. Lindner, "Wenn der Hacker Spitalpatienten mitbehandelt," *Neue Zürcher Zeitung*, 2017, 2017. <https://www.nzz.ch/digital/computervirus-wanna-cry-wenn-der-hacker-mitbehandelt-ld.1294555> (Accessed: 12 May, 2020).
- [31] S. Teufel and B. Teufel, "Crowd Energy Information Security Culture - Security Guidelines for Smart Environments," in *2015 IEEE International Conference on Smart City/SocialCom/SustainCom (SmartCity), 2015*, pp. 123–128.
- [32] J. Vasauskaite, S. Teufel, and B. Teufel, "Smart Framework: Application under the Conditions of Modern Economy," *EE*, vol. 28, no. 2, 2017, doi: 10.5755/j01.ee.28.2.17631.
- [33] K. Schwab, *The Fourth Industrial Revolution: what it means and how to respond*. [Online]. Available: <https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2016/01/the-fourth-industrial-revolution-what-it-means-and-how-to-respond/> (Accessed: 12 May, 2020).