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Abstract

The current study investigates the effects of the use of an IPad-based collaborative instructional method on six first graders identified as at-risk for reading delays. The collaborative instructional method was implemented by six educators and communication disorders graduate students randomly assigned into three dyads in two phases. Phase one of the instructional method focused on training the dyads on the instructional methods; while phase two focused on implementing the instructional method on first-graders identified as at-risk for reading delays. The researchers collected and analyzed the means for pre and post student data on the Profile of Phonological Awareness. The results of the study indicate that the use of an IPad-based collaborative instructional method can yield positive results and noted improvement for students at risk for or experiencing reading delays.

1. Introduction

Demands of students mastering the skill of reading by third grade has compelled teachers to use evidence-based effective and comprehensive instructional practices to support the needs of all students in the classroom [1]. Common Core State Standards has also outlined for each grade level, the minimum foundational reading requirements that students must meet in order to successfully graduate to next level. These foundational requirements for first graders includes the ability to distinguish long from short vowel sounds in spoken single syllable words and blending and segmenting individual sounds. By the completion of kindergarten, the child should have mastered the ability to recognize and produce rhyming words and count-pronounce-blend and segment single syllables in spoken words [2]. Along with these demands, there has been cut back in resources and services. The classroom sizes and caseloads for SLP have increased dramatically—thus, it has become difficult for teachers and SLP to effectively enhance at-risk students’ foundational reading skills. This raises the question, how can we effectively approach functionally and consistently addressing the needs of students’ at risk for or experiencing reading delays?

One method to addressing this question involves the implementation of professional collaborative models for reading instruction. More specifically, reading instruction from a collaborative approach that includes both the teacher and speech-language pathologist may be beneficial.

According to the American Speech-Hearing-Language Association (ASHA) and Council of Exceptional Children (CEC), teachers and Speech-Language Pathologists (SLP) are encouraged to collaborate during planning and service delivery phases to provide comprehensive, rich and novel experiences to students with communication and learning difficulties, including reading delays [3] [4] [5].

Therefore, it is of importance to provide training and professional development opportunities to foster these collaborations. Research demonstrates that when strategic training programs are combined with collaborative approaches among teachers and speech-language pathologists, the overall results can benefit the professionals and the school-age child [6].

Despite understanding and knowing the importance of collaboration as an essential element to teaching, current research in this area reveals a scarcity in collaborative training opportunities. In a study of both special and general educators who graduated within the past five years, the researcher found that only 53% of special education professionals and 29% of general education teachers surveyed had received professional development that included content on collaborating with their special or general education colleagues [7]. Moreover, the inclusion of technology into a professional collaborative classroom setting can further enhance the academic abilities of the students within the class. More specifically, the implementation of collaborative approaches utilizing technology based instruction (TBI) targeting reading skills development can contribute to increased and successful reading skills and abilities in school-age children [8]. With these skills, teacher and related service provider collaborations (i.e. speech-pathologists) have the capacity to assist a school in developing the potential to improve the conditions of
learning for all students, including those experiencing reading delays.

The purpose of this project is two-fold: a) to provide collaborative technology based instructional training for teachers and communication disorders graduate student participants who are addressing common goals of children at-risk for or experiencing reading delays; b) to determine the effects of a collaborative technology based instructional approach on children at-risk for or experiencing reading skill delays.

2. Research Method

This study was an exploratory pilot study used to determine the effects of an IPad collaborative instruction using a pretest-posttest design. The participants included three general education (GE) teachers from a New Jersey charter school and three communication disorders graduate (CDG) students who were randomly assigned to three dyads (See Table 1). As well as the dyads, this study also included six first graders at-risk for reading delays.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Selection Criteria for Dyads</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CDG Students</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Students must be able to enroll in a practicum or zero credit independent study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Students must have minimum of 3.3 GPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Students must be able to commit to one semester of study participation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Students must demonstrate basic understanding of PA and reading skills to be determined by completion of one or more of the following courses with B or better (phonology disorders, introduction to phonetics and child language disorders in school-age children.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Must demonstrate basic understanding of PA and reading skills</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For both the GE teachers and CDG students, the knowledge and skills taught during the IPad-based collaborative instruction must be implemented on school-age children demonstrating difficulty or at-risk signs with reading skills.

The six first graders enrolled in the study were selected based on the following criteria:

1. Children must be verbal (more than 500 age-appropriate words)
2. Children must demonstrate some decoding skills
3. Children must not present with severe articulation disorders or language disorders
4. Children must have demonstrated delays in PA and/or reading skills
5. Children must not be currently enrolled in speech therapy or reading intervention programs.

3.1. Instrument

The instrument used for this study was the Profile of Phonological Assessment (Pro-PA), and IPad based assessment. The Pro-PA can be used to evaluate and describe literacy development in children with reading difficulties [# Tanya Cole, 2012-Smarty Ears]. The assessment contains six subtests. Within this study, the researchers focused on the following three subtests:

1. Rhyming (identification and production)
2. Blending (syllables and sounds)
3. Segmenting (syllables and sounds)

The scores from each of the three subtests administered were coded in the following manner:

- Mastery: > or = 80% accuracy
- Emerging: 50-79% accuracy
- Significant Difficulties: <50% accuracy

3.2. Methodology and Procedures

The research procedure was conducted in two phases. In phase one, the dyads were to attend eight Saturday sessions over the course two months beginning in spring 2014. The participants were trained by the primary investigators on the following modules: a) Intro to interdisciplinary collaborations; b) Collaboration within classroom; c) targeting and unpacking common core content standards; d) overview of phonological awareness and reading skills; e) assessment and interpretation of reading test results; f) IPad-based collaborative instruction approach. Features of the training modules included simulated case studies, modules tests, and in-class/take-home assignments. At the completion of the module trainings, the participants were allowed to advance to phase two of the study of which included the first-grade students.
In phase two, the participants first identified students who were possibly exhibiting at-risk or delayed reading skills to be tested. Once the students were identified and consent was established, the CDG student in each dyad tested the students to determine the presence and severity of at-risk characteristics in reading skills. Students were first tested utilizing the Profile of Phonological Awareness (Pro-PA). This was recognized as the pretest. During the administering of the Pro-PA, the CDG student of the dyad focused on three subtests (pre-subtests) probing the identified student to elicit responses that would determine their skills in the area (See Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subtest</th>
<th>Probe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rhyming Identification</td>
<td>Do these rhymes? big-pig-dig;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhyming Production</td>
<td>Tell me 2 words that rhyme with...(rug)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blending Syllables</td>
<td>What word am I saying? dough-nut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blending Sounds</td>
<td>What word am I saying? p-o-t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segmenting Sounds</td>
<td>Tell me all the sounds in the word big</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segmenting Syllable</td>
<td>Clap out the syllables in the word rabbit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If the tested student demonstrated emerging or significant difficulties in any of the subtests administered, they were selected to receive the IPad-based collaborative instruction. Each student identified received collaborative instruction from the dyad using specific reading skills focused IPad apps via classroom integrated lessons and activities in reading and one to one intervention. Each collaborative instruction was conducted 4 days each week for 45 minutes each. The CDG students in each dyad lead the one to one portion of the intervention for 20 minutes and the GE teacher lead the classroom integrated lessons and activities portion for 25 minutes. This phase was conducted over a period of six-eight weeks and 16 IPad-based instructional sessions per child. At the completion of the 16 IPad-based collaborative sessions, the CDG student of each dyad administered the Pro-PA. This was recognized as the post-test.

4. Results

To determine the effects of the IPad-based collaborative instruction, the researchers analyzed the data from three subtests within the PRoPA evaluation (rhyming, blending, and segmenting).

Secondly, the pre-and-post data collected from the three subtests of the Pro-PA were calculated to determine the mean of each subtest within and across participants. The researchers calculated the mean differences for each subtests before and after the IPad-based collaborative instruction on six first graders.

Within the pre-subtest for rhyming, no student demonstrated mastery of rhyming skills. Four of the students performed 66% below their expected ability to produce and identify rhyming words. Indicating their rhyming skills were emerging. In addition, the remaining two students’ scores were below mastery level demonstrating significant difficulty with rhyming skills. From this data, it was determined that rhyming was an emerging to difficult area for all of the students with a pre-subtest rhyming mean score of 44%.

Following the implementation of the collaborative IPad-based instruction, the post–subtest 1 (rhyming) demonstrated that the ability to produce and identify rhyming words was mastered by 90% of the students. The remaining student demonstrated a decrease in rhyming skills. Overall, all of the students demonstrated a post-subtest rhyming mean score of 72% (See Fig. 1).

A closer inspection of the mean score differences between the pre and post subtest 1 (rhyming), reveals an increase of 28% in all of the students’ ability to produce and identify rhyming words (see Table 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Students</th>
<th>AG</th>
<th>AO</th>
<th>AR</th>
<th>DJ</th>
<th>QR</th>
<th>RE</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Rhyming</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-Rhyming</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For pre- subtest 2 (blending), the data showed that four of the students yielded performance scores of 100% on blending skills indicating mastery. Whereas, the remaining two students demonstrated emerging blending skills receiving performance
scores of 60% and 72%. From this data, it was
determined that all students possessed some level of
blending skills.
Following the implementation of the
collaborative IPad-based instruction, the post-subtest
2 (blending) demonstrated that the ability to blend
sounds into words was mastered by all of the
students.

A closer inspection of the mean scores
differences between the pre and post subtest 2
(blending), revealed an increase of 13% in the all of
the students’ ability to blend sounds into words (see
Table 4). In addition, analysis of the data revealed
that three of the students maintained their pre-
established ability to blend sounds into words post
intervention.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Students</th>
<th>AG</th>
<th>AO</th>
<th>AR</th>
<th>DJ</th>
<th>QR</th>
<th>RE</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Blending</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-Blending</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data from Pre- subtest 3 (segmenting), indicated
noticeable variations in the students’ knowledge and
skill of segmenting sounds in words. Two students
demonstrated mastery in segmenting sounds in
words with 83% and 100% accuracy. Three students’
scores indicated emergent level skills for segmenting
syllables and sounds in words with 56%, 72% and
61% accuracy. The remaining student yielded a
score of 40% indicating significant difficulty in the
ability to segment syllables and sounds in words.
This student yielded a performance score of 40%.

Following the implementation of the
collaborative IPad-based instruction, the post-subtest
3 (segmenting) demonstrated that the ability to
segment syllables and sounds in words was mastered
by four of the students. The remaining two students’
scores indicated segmenting skills that were
emerging (See Fig. 3).

The mean score differences between the pre and
post subtest 3 (segmenting), showed an increase of
17% in three out of the six students’ ability to
segment syllables and sounds in words. In addition,
analysis of the data revealed that two of the students
maintained their pre-subtest scores and demonstrated
no increase in the skill. One of the students showed a
decrease in their segmenting skills (see Table 5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Students</th>
<th>AG</th>
<th>AO</th>
<th>AR</th>
<th>DJ</th>
<th>QR</th>
<th>RE</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Segmenting</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-Segmenting</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Discussion

Overall, 83% of students in this study
demonstrated significant improvement in all areas of
the reading skills components (rhyming, blending and
segmenting) after the IPad-based collaborative
instruction. Given the evidence, it is safe to assume
that the collaborative instruction provided by the
teachers and CDG dyads positively impacted
students’ reading skills in the areas of rhyming,
blending and segmenting.

Students are expected to demonstrate mastery of
rhyming, blending and segmenting skills by the end
of kindergarten [#] (Based on the New Jersey
Common Core State Standards). However, the data
indicates before the implementation of instruction, at
least 50% of the students possessed minimal
knowledge and skills for rhyming, blending and
segmenting. The implementation of the IPad-based
collaborative instruction resulted in an evident
increase in the ability to rhyme, blend and segment
for 83% of the students’. For example, AG
demonstrated a significant increase of 48% in all
reading skills addressed during this study. More
specifically, AO initially presented with minimal
knowledge and skills in the area of rhyming.
Conversely, after exposure to the IPad-based
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collaborative instruction, he presented with a 66% increase in his ability to identify and produce rhyming words.

On the other hand, it is important to discuss the results of RE. During the evaluation of rhyming and segmenting skills prior to the IPad-based collaborative approach, RE demonstrated significant difficulty with rhyming and emerging skills with segmenting. Further, after exposure to the IPad-based collaborative approach, RE demonstrated a slight regression in rhyming and segmenting skills. However, he did demonstrate an increase in his blending ability resulting in a change from emerging to mastery skills. Based on reports from the dyad who provided instruction for RE, he demonstrated most difficulties when the directions necessary to retain the task appeared to be complex. This may indicate that RE was unable to attend to the task when the wording or presentation of how to complete the task was perceived to be high level. In addition, the findings may also adhere to the fact that RE presented with lack of motivation to complete instruction. The dyad reported that RE seemed to lose motivation and focus after 10 minutes of instruction that was too difficult. Despite, the findings of RE’s results, it appears that his regression in skill was possibly due to comprehension and motivation rather than a result of the IPad-based collaborative instruction. Results from the analysis of the students’ scores before and after the IPad-based collaborative instruction suggests, that training of the this instruction provided professionals with the ability to confidently collaborate, plan, implement, and assess the reading skills of children at risk for reading delays.

Ultimately, the researchers have arrived at the conclusion in this study that the IPad-based collaborative approach is a legitimate approach to addressing reading skills in students’ who may be at risk or delayed. As the availability of resources becomes more limited for educators, this approach may prove to be an effective way to address the needs of students’ who are at risk or experiencing reading delays without placing a financial burden on the school setting.

This study positively contributes to literature on collaboration in educational settings [9]. It provides evidence that when teachers and SLPs work as a collaborative team, benefits to the students’ academic success can be achieved. It is recommended that collaboration amongst education professionals include meaningful and intensive training on how to collaborate, plan, implement instruction and intervention, interpret student assessment data and effectively share expertise to support at-risk or delayed readers. It is also recommended that the use of IPad-based instruction be encouraged in the teaching and intervention of reading skills for school-age children. Evaluating the effectiveness of these opportunities to use methods like the one applied in this study can offer possibilities to teachers and related providers of ways to enhance the production and approach of their own professional practices [9].
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